



Superior Court of California County of San Benito

Tentative Decisions for June 16, 2025

Courtroom #1: Judge J. Omar Rodriguez

CU-23-00183 Natmar,L.P., et al. vs. City of Hollister, et al.

Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Proposed Fourth Amended Petition is DENIED without prejudice. The Motion is procedurally defective as it fails to comply with the requirements of California Rule of Court, Rule 3.1324.

Plaintiffs' Motion was required to state what allegations are proposed to be added to the previous pleading, but it does not. (Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 3.1324(a).) Plaintiffs simply allege, in a conclusory manner, that the new causes of action are supported by "facts that had not yet occurred." (Motion, p. 3.) This is insufficient. Moreover, Plaintiffs' declaration in support of the Motion was required to identify when the facts giving rise to the amended allegation were discovered and the reasons why the requested amendment was not made earlier. (see Cal. Rules of Court, Rule 3.1324 (b)(3).) Again, Plaintiffs failed to comply with this requirement. Instead, the declaration states vaguely that "the new causes of action are based on the same factual allegations in the underlying action..." (Supporting Declaration of Christine O. Breene ("Breen Decl."), ¶ 5.) As a result of the correctable, yet deficient moving papers, the Court denies the motion without prejudice.

///

///

CU-24-00067 **Petition of Monica Tinoco Rangel**

The Petition for Name Change is DENIED without prejudice. Petitioners seek a name change, which requires Petitioners to use and file form NC-100, which Petitioners did not use. Additionally, a Petition for Name Change requires notice of the hearing to be provided along with a supporting Proof of Publication to be filed with the court, which Petitioners have failed to provide. The initial petition was filed on March 19, 2024. During the April 14, 2025 Review Hearing, the Court explained the deficiencies to Petitioners, yet Petitioners have failed to correct these deficiencies. As a result, the Petition is DENIED.

CU-24-00138 **Castro v. Bedolla, et al.**

Based on the review of the file, Bishop Lusink Agency has not been dismissed from the case and was not subject to the most recent demurrer. As a result, Bishop Lusink remains the sole Defendant in the case.

The Court strikes the Order to Show Cause, but the Case Management Conference will remain on calendar.

CU-24-00156 **Gomonet v. Pacific Scientific Energetic Materials Co. LLC**

The Case Management Conference is continued to the date of the hearing on the Demurrer, which is July 21, 2025 at 10:30 a.m.

CU-24-00195 **Kraig Klauer Family Ltd P'ship vs. Valles & Associates, LLC**

The Motions to Compel and the Case Management Conference are continued to June 30, 2025 at 10:30 a.m.

CU-25-00035 **In the matter of Mariah Castillo**

The Petition for Name Change is GRANTED as requested.

CU-25-00060 **In the Matter of Jorge Cervantes Uribe**

The Petition for Name Change is GRANTED as requested.

PR-17-00066

In Re Conservatorship of Maritza Bracamontes Soto

The Court has read and considered the Investigator's Report. The conservatorship will remain without modification. The Review Hearing is vacated and no appearances are necessary. The Court will schedule a review in two years and provide notice of the hearing.

PR-25-00039

In the Matter of Romona E. Costa

The Petition is APPROVED as requested. Bond is waived. Lucia Areias is appointed as referee. Full authority is granted to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. Petitioner is to file an Inventory and Appraisal within four months of issuance of letters (Prob. Code section 8800(b)) and either a petition for an order for final distribution of the estate or a report of status of administration within the timeframe set out in Probate Code section 12200.

The matter is set for hearing on December 15, 2025 at 10:30 a.m. for status of estate or final account and distribution. No appearances at the hearing will be required if the court determines that administration of the estate is timely proceeding, or good cause is shown why more time is required.

END OF TENTATIVE DECISIONS